

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN
9 DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT RICHLAND
10

11 James S. Gordon, Jr., Plaintiff,

12 vs.

13 Impulse Marketing Group, Inc.,

14 Defendant

15
16 Impulse Marketing Group, Inc.,

17 Third-Party Plaintiff,

18 v.

19 Jonathan K. Gordon,

20 Third-Party Defendant
21

22 TO: Clerk of the Court

23 AND TO: Floyd E. Ivey, Attorney for Third-Party Plaintiff
24
25

Case No.: CV-04-5125-FVS

ORDER ON THIRD PARTY
DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR
SUMMARY JUDGMENT – RULE
56

PROPOSED

RECEIVED

NOV 13 2006

CLERK, US DISTRICT COURT
RICHLAND, WASHINGTON

1 The Court having considered Third Party Defendant's Motion for
2 Summary Judgment – said Order is hereby (granted) _____
3 (denied) _____. The complaint of Impulse Marketing Group versus
4 the undersigned is hereby (dismissed with prejudice) _____ (not
5 dismissed) _____.

6
7 Dated this _____ day of _____, 2006/2007.
8
9 _____

10 JUDGE VAN SICKLE
11
12
13

14 Certificate of Service

15 I, hereby, certify that on November 13, 2006, I filed this Order on Third
16 Party Defendant's Motion to for Summary Judgment with this Court. I
17 have served Bob Siegel, Douglas McKinley, Peter J. Glantz, Sean A.
18 Moynihan, Floyd E. Ivey, James Gordon III, Jonathan Gordon, Emily
19 Abbey, Bonnie Gordon, and Robert Pritchett by other means.
20
21
22
23
24
25